

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,677	458,677 12/10/1999		WILLIAM MUTILANGI	2105.2050	9164
5514	7590	12/23/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO				EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761 DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Group Art Unit Group Art Unit Correspondence address— H(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE by be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS by (30) days will be considered timely. g date of this communication.	
Group Art Unit Correspondence address— H(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE bly be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS y (30) days will be considered timely. g date of this communication.	
correspondence address— H(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE by be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS y (30) days will be considered timely. g date of this communication.	
CORRESPONDENCE Address— H(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE bly be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS by (30) days will be considered timely, g date of this communication.	
H(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE by be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS by (30) days will be considered timely, g date of this communication.	
bly be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS y (30) days will be considered timely. g date of this communication.	
bly be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS y (30) days will be considered timely. g date of this communication.	
y (30) days will be considered timely. g date of this communication.	
BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). timely, may reduce any earned patent	
s to the merits is closed in	
e pending in the application.	
_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
_ is/are allowed.	
e rejected.	
is/are objected to.	
subject to restriction or election	
irement	
oved.	
•	
•	
•	
·	
mmary, PTO-413	
 	
E E E S I	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. 13

Application/Control Number: 09/458,677

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 17, 2002 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stray-Gunderson in view of Schade and Jenner et al.

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth on pages 3-4, Paper No. 3.

4. Claims 1-6 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over page 2, lines 16-31 of applicant's in view of Jenner et al.

Applicant is referred to the reasoning set forth in paragraph No. 3, Paper No. 5.

Applicant's arguments filed July 17, 2002 and accompanying 37 CFR 1-132 declaration have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Although the declaration shows that the claimed composition provides synthesis results when compared with calcium phosphate alone, calcium sulfate alone or potassium sulfate

Art Unit: 1761

alone, this evidence does not overcome either primary reference. Applicant's species suggests that all the compounds can be used with aesulfame –K. Does the presence of sucrose create synthese results in applicant's composition. Further, Stray-Gunderson uses a mixture of calcium phosphate and calcium sulfate (col. 9, lines 15-25). Does applicant's three-component mixture provide synthese results when compared with the two-component mixture of Stray-Gunderson.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can generally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 10 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. and on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Corbin/dh December 20, 2002

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

12-20-02

Application/Control Number: 09/458,677

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4